UKERC Energy 2050 Scenario Data

UKERC's ambitious interdisciplinary report – Energy 2050: Making the transition to a secure and low-carbon energy system – launched on April 30th 2009, addresses two of the UK Government's toughest energy policy goals – ensuring supply resilience in meeting energy demands, while meeting its legal commitment to reduce CO₂ emissions by 80% by 2050. The full report and supporting documentation is at www.ukerc.ac.uk/support/tiki-index.php?page=Energy+2050+Overview The report synthesises the project findings; an extended account of the project and policy implications are being published in book form in 2010 (Ekins P., Skea J. and Winskel M. (eds) (2010), Energy 2050: the transition to a secure low carbon energy system for the UK, Earthscan).

The project relied heavily on the use of interlinked models. These including the energy systems UK MARKAL Elastic Demand model, as well as sectoral models in the electricity and gas sectors (CGEN, WASP) and end-use buildings and transport sectors (UKDCM, UKTCM).

This introduction gives a listing and overview of the major UK energy scenarios (2000-2050) as used in the project. UKERC's energy data centre (EDC) holds the tabulated scenario data for public use. Please reference this data source as: Strachan N., Anandarajah G., Hughes N., and Ekins P. (2010), *UKERC Energy 2050 energy systems scenario data*, UKERC Energy Data Centre, http://ukedc.rl.ac.uk/index.html

The table below lists the UKERC Energy 2050 scenarios, report chapters, scenario names (and alternate names from earlier reports) and an overview description of key features. Detailed information on these scenarios is given at www.ukerc.ac.uk/support/tiki-index.php?page=Energy+2050+Overview

Name	Scenario	Previous	Description of Key Features
		names	
CORE SCEN	NARIOS (Chapters 2, 3, 4	1, 5, 6, additio	nal)
REF	Reference	Base	Only policies as of 2008 Energy Bill; No CO ₂ price
LC	Low carbon	CAM, LC	26% CO ₂ reduction by 2020 (CCC interim target equivalent),
		Core 80%	exponentially extrapolated to -80% by 2050 (118MtCO ₂)
CARBON R	REDUCTION (Chapter 2)	•	
LC-40	Faint-heart	CFH	15% CO ₂ reduction by 2020, extrapolated to -40% by 2050
			(355MtCO ₂)
LC-60	Low-carbon-60	CLC, LC	26% CO ₂ reduction by 2020, extrapolated to -60% by 2050
		Core 60%	(237MtCO ₂)
LC-90	Super ambition	CSAM	32% CO ₂ reduction by 2020 (CCC intended target equivalent),
			extrapolated to -90% by 2050 (59MtCO ₂)
LC-EA	Early action	CEA	32% CO ₂ reduction by 2020 (CCC intended target equivalent),
			extrapolated to -80% by 2050 (118MtCO ₂)
LC-LCP	Least-cost path	ССР	Same cumulative emissions as LC-EA (19.24GtCO ₂), but a least-cost
			cumulative path
LC-SO	Socially optimal	CCSP	Same cumulative emissions as LC-EA (19.24GtCO ₂), with a least-cost
	least-cost path		cumulative path, and social discount rate (3.5%)
RESILIENT	SCENARIOS (Chapter 3,	additional)	
R	Resilient		Primary energy resilience – 40% market share per fuel; Electricity
			generation and capacity resilience – 40% maximum market share
			per technology class; Final energy resilience – 3.2% p.a. reduction
			from 2010

LCR	Low-Carbon	Combination of LC and R scenarios
	Resilient)
	D TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMEN	
LC-Acctech	Accelerated	As LC (80% CO ₂ reduction by 2050), with acceleration of al
	technology	technologies as below
LC-Renew	Accelerated	As LC (80% CO ₂ reduction by 2050), with acceleration of renewable
	renewables	technologies as below
LC-60	LC-60 accelerated	As LC-60 (60% CO ₂ reduction by 2050), with acceleration of al
Acctech	technology	technologies as below
LC-60	LC-60 accelerated	As LC-60 (60% CO ₂ reduction by 2050), with acceleration or
Renew	renewables	renewable technologies as below
LC-60 Bio	LC-60 accelerated biomass	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative — selective bid energy chain improvements based around: Bioengineering (a doubling of average energy crop yield by 2050); Agro-machinery (increasing yield of energy crops); Gasification technology (reduced capital costs and improved availability); Ligno-cellulosic ethano (reduced capital and O&M costs, and increased efficiency): Fast pyrolysis (bio-oil process and quality improvements for reduced capital and O&M costs)
LC-60 CCS	LC-60 accelerated carbon capture and storage	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – reduced off-shore storage costs for depleted oil and gas fields and saline aquifers Same CCS plant costs as model data already considered optimistic
LC-60	LC-60 accelerated	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – moderately lower
Nuclear	nuclear	costs, higher load factors, improved efficiencies and earlier availabilities for Gen III, III+ and IV fission plant. Gen. III technology available from 2017 for an first-of-a-kind (FOAK) plant, with next-of-a-kind (NOAK) plants from 2020
LC-60 FC	LC-60 accelerated fuel cells	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – hydrogen fuel cel cost reductions for bus and car modes; natural gas (SOFC-CHP MCFC-CHP) and hydrogen (PEMFC -CHP) cost reductions for electricity generation
LC-60	LC-60 accelerated	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – supported niche
Marine	marine	learning on marine energy giving capital costs for wave and tidal or around £1100/kW by 2015. After 2015, annual cost reductions from global learning rate of 10%
LC-60 PV	LC-60 accelerated photo-voltaics	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – worldwide R&E efforts, policy support and market developments for advanced learning rates for 1 st gen. crystalline silicon, 2 nd gen. thin film module technologies and 3 rd gen. organic PV, leading to capital cost range o (£600-£200)/kW by 2050
LC-60 Wind	LC-60 accelerated wind	As LC-60, with exogenous technology narrative – higher UK onshore wind capacity of 18GW; raised offshore wind learning rates (of 10% equivalent to investment cost reduction rate of 3% p.a. to 2020, and 1% p.a. post 2020
ENVIRONME	NTAL SENSITIVITIES (Chapter	5)
LC-DREAD	DREAD	LC with narrative on unfamiliar technologies constrained – 10GW onshore wind, 80GW offshore wind, no tidal barrage, 30.4GW nuclear, 10.5GW CCS, total biomass resource only 37% of Rescenario and restricted to transport only

		T	IC with parrative on technologies that impings on essevictors
LC-ECO	ECO		LC with narrative on technologies that impinge on ecosystem services constrained – 10GW onshore wind, 80GW offshore wind, no
			tidal barrage, 13.5TWh pa tidal stream, 37.5TWh pa wave. No open
			cast coal mines from 2010, total domestic bio-energy resource only
			11% of Ref scenario and restricted to end-use heat and power only
			(no bio-transport), no imported bio-fuel, high fossil fuel prices
LC-NIMBY	NIMBY		LC with narrative on technologies with high local impact constrained
	1		– no nuclear, no CCS, no hydrogen
	ESTYLES (Chapter 6)	Г	
LS-REF	Reference lifestyle	LS REF	An iterative linkage with the UK MARKAL and sectoral UKDCM and
			UKTCM model to model lifestyle drivers.
			Residential: internal demand temperature peaks at 20C in 2010,
			then stabilises at 17C in 2025, demolition rate remain at 17,000 pa,
			whilst new build stabilises at 120,000 pa, air conditioning remains
			negligible, hot-water use falls linearly by 1.25% annually from 2010
			to 2050, electricity for lights and appliances stabilizes in 2014 and
			then decreases by 58% in 2050, full penetration of cavity wall
			insulation by 2020 and loft top-up by 2040, increased use of external
			solid wall insulation (35%) and cladding walls (37%), wall insulation
			delivers U-values of 0.25 and windows 0.8 (ie best practice), no new
			conventional heating systems post 2030, district CHP take-up
			between 10% and 25% by 2050, micro CHP take-up between 10%
			and 60% by 2050, heat pump take-up between 10% and 60% by
			2050, micro biomass limited to 20%, solar thermal on 50% of
			dwellings by 2050, solar PV panels on 15% of dwellings by 2050,
			micro-wind turbines on 5% of dwellings by 2050
			Transport: Mode shift of 74% reduction in distance travelled by car,
			12% fall in HGVs , 184% increase in bus travel, shift to cycling and
			walking; specific load factors also increase relative to the reference
			case for cars (about 23%), LGV and HGV; drivers practice eco-driving
			with an average 8% improvement in fuel efficiency;, more
			favourable preferences (hurdle rates) and performance parameters
			(but keeping cost factors the same) for battery electric, hybrid
			electric and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles
LS-LC	Low-carbon	LS LC	As LS-REF with 80% CO ₂ reduction by 2050
	lifestyle		
	NSITIVITIES (additional f	or book)	
LC-HI	High fossil prices	CAM-HI	As LC, but with high fossil fuel price imports
LCR-HI	Resilient high fossil	LCR-HI	As LCR, but with high fossil fuel price imports
	priced		
LC-CC	Central cost credits	CAM-CC	As LC, but with CCC central cost and availability of international
			emissions credits (from CCC)
LCR-CC	Resilient central	LCR-CC	As LCR, but with central cost and availability of international
	cost credits		emissions credits (from CCC)
LC-HI-LC	High prices/cheap	CAM-HI-	As LC, but with high fossil fuel price imports, and low cost (central
	credits	LC	availability) of international emissions credits (from CCC). This
	5. 55.55		represents a "best case" for the UK from international drivers
LCR-NB	Resilient/no	LCR-NB	As LCR (resilience constraints, central fossil fuel prices, no emissions
FCIV-IAD	credits/no biomass	LCIV-IND	credits) and with no biomass imports). This represents a "worst
	•		
	imports		case" for the UK from international drivers